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## Demand for rail freight 2030/2050
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## Rail market share development

## West

Market share for freight modes in EU 15


East
Market share for freight modes in EU 13


## Demand for rail freight 2030/2050

Capacity for Rail

## The EU target - what does it mean?

For freight transportation by rail and truck in EU

2010 2,300 billion tonne-km
$53 \%$ of transports in EU is $>300 \mathrm{~km}$
Market share for rail is $25 \%$ on distances >300 km


2050 total 3,500 billion tonne-km Increase of approx. 50\%
Market share for rail increases from $25 \%$ to $60 \%$ on distances $>300 \mathrm{~km}$


Source: Processing of data from Transtools in TOSCA and at KTH

Future customer requirements
Capacity for Rail

## Customer requirements:

## Environment - Quality - Cost

## Future customer requirements

Capacity for Rail

## Longer and heavier trucks

- In some countries:

18m -> 24 m

- In Sweden: 60 ->74ton 25m->34m



To compete rail must be even more effective:

- Longer and heavier trains and wagons
- More competitive inter modal


## Technical development
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Wagons: Short term: Incremental development Long term: System change

## Incremental development:

- Higher axle load 22,5 $\rightarrow 25$ ton
- Higher speed $100 \rightarrow 120$ km/h
- Higher gauge
- End of train device (EOT)
- LL-brakes
- Lighter wagons

System change:

- Higher axle load 22,5 $\rightarrow 25-30$ ton
- Higher speed $100 \rightarrow 120-160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Higher and wider gauge
- Electro pneumatic (EP) brakes
- Disc brakes
- Automatic couplers



## Capacity - traction

Use existing locos better


Locos with 6 axles


Locos with higher axle load


Use Duo-locos

-2

## Capacity - traction
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Locomotives: Do we need higher effort or adhesive weight?

- Modern electric locos are developed for passenger service that means 5-6 MW, 200 km/h and 21 tons axle load
- For freight higher axle load is essential to handle heavier trains - normal 22,5 ton or same as the wagons
- 6-axle locomotives is also an option for higher adhesive weight


## Long freight train in EU

- Get as much as possible out of one engine $=1050 \mathrm{~m}$

- An intermodal train weights approx 2 tons/meter
- A modern 4-axle electric loco can haul 2200 gross tons
- 1000 m wagon rake $=1000 \times 2=2000$ tons + marginal $=2200$ tons
- 1000 m wagon rake + loco+ marginal $=1050 \mathrm{~m}$
- Optimal length in Europe $=1050 \mathrm{~m}$
- $2 \times 750 \mathrm{~m}=1500$ is an alternative


## Capacity and cost



## Longer trains

- Longer trains are often possible with limited investments in infrastructure
- Longer trains means higher capacity and lower transport cost
- $630 \rightarrow 1050$ m capacity $+76 \%$ cost $-21 \%$ per tonneskm
- With one loco more economic operation
- There is a need of unified braking rules in Europe


## Capacity and cost
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## Higher axle load

- Same gross weight approx. 3,200 tonnes train load
- Axle load 20-22,5-25-30 tonnes
- Axle load 25 tonnes with light weight wagon: less tare $\rightarrow$ more payload
- Higher axle load $\rightarrow$ fewer wagons for same payload $\rightarrow$ shorter train

Capacity for Rail

## Use of higher and wider loading gauge



## Today's terminals

## Inter Modal - terminal handling is crucial

- Terminal costs have a high share of the total transport cost
- Terminals must be built for reach-stackers with big areas with high axle load
- The terminal cannot be electrified - diesel engines are needed to shunt the train
- Tracks has to be built to park the wagons
- Endpoint traffic on long distances - no network


Cost structure intermodal


## Paradigm shift in intermodal

## Horizontal transfer under catenary



Intermediate terminals


Liner traffic stops at sidings


Shorter feeder transports



## Roll-on roll off for trailers

## Inter Modal - roll on roll off of trailers

- Most trailers are not equipped to be lifted
- If the trailers could be rolled off and on all trailers could be handled
- Then the terminals has to be dimensioned only for the trailers axle load
- The terminal can be very compact and cheap
- This means lower logistic costs for customers and society



## Traffic and operational development

## Possibilities to develop Wagon Load

- Handle group of wagons instead of single wagons
- Production in cooperation with trainload
- Booked network and capacity management
- Higher axle load, meter load and wider gauge
- Concentration of marshalling yards and liner feeder trains
- Automatize of marshalling
- Automatic couplers



## Traffic and operational development

## Linear operation and Duo-locos

- Linear trains can be more effective than hub and spoke systems
- Sometimes the long distance train also can distribute wagons
- With duo-locos it also possible to shunt wagons at un-electrified tracks
- One duo-loco can replace one electric loco and one diesel loco
- In long term it will also be possible to not electrify yards and sidings

Node system (today)


## Traffic and operational development
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## Tracking, tracing and monitoring

- Location through satellites or cellular mobile communication
- RFID and internet in combination for information from trains in real time
- On board or way side monitoring for freight
- Monitoring can also be used for infrastructure health control
- Intelligent rail is technically possible but not at all fully used in the rail system


Demand and rail network 2030/2050

## White paper

 forecast 2050In tonne-km Source: D-rail

## Rail Freight

Corridors 2015
Established 20132015


White paper forecast 2050
In tonne
Source: D-rail

## High Speed <br> Network 2025

Existing and planned
Source: UIC

## Capacity for rail freight 2030/2050
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## Capacity can be improved in many ways

- Longer and heavier trains
- Higher axle load and higher speed
- Better signaling system
- HSR will free capacity for freight and regional trains on conventional lines
- Dedicated freight lines is an option when RFC is fully utilized



## Costs for rail freight 2030/2050

Capacity for Rail

Measures to reduce cost for rail freight


Efficient freight rail freight 2030/2050

Optimizing wagons, trains and infrastructure


Conclusions to establish a competitive rail system 2030/2050

- Today's trend for freight are not in line with EU target - there is a strong need for further technical development as well as market orientation of rail freight
- The wagons: Incremental development in short term: Better length utilization and EOT. System change in long term: Automatic couplers and EP-brakes
- Wagons, trains and infrastructure: Wider gauge, higher axle load and higher speed. Longer trains with one loco 750-1000 m with two locos $2 \times 750=1500 \mathrm{~m}$
- Locomotives: Higher axle load with track-friendly bogies is a possibility to handle heavier and longer trains
- ERTMS L2 must be completed with shorter block lengths to gain capacity important to develop and implement ERTMS L3 with low cost for freight
- The future network for HSR may free capacity for freight if slots will be reserved on the conventional network - important with high performance on RFC
- Rail can make a real contribution to mobility and to avoid the climate change if EU target will be implemented and rails potential fully utilized
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Appendix

| Equipment | Common standard $2010$ | Incremental change* $2030$ | System change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wagons |  |  |  |
| Running gear <br> Brakes <br> Brake control <br> Couplers <br> Max Speed <br> Max Axle load <br> Floor height lowest <br> IT-system | Different <br> Cast brakes <br> Pneumatic <br> Screw couplers <br> 100 km/h <br> 22.5 tonnes <br> $1,200 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Way-side | 50\% Track-friendly <br> LL brakes <br> Radio controlled EOT <br> Automatic couplers on some trains <br> 120 km/h <br> 25 tonnes <br> 1,000 mm <br> Some in wagons | All track-friendly <br> Disc brakes <br> Fully electronic <br> Automatic couplers on all trains <br> 120-160 km/h <br> 30 tonnes <br> 800 mm <br> All radio controlled |
| Locomotives |  |  |  |
| Tractive effort kN <br> Axle load <br> Propulsion <br> Fuel <br> Drivers | 300 <br> 20 tonne <br> Electric <br> Diesel <br> Always drivers | 350 <br> 22,5 tonne <br> Some duo-locos <br> LNG/Diesel <br> Some driverless | 400 <br> 25 tonne <br> All duo-locos <br> LNG/electric <br> All driverless |
| Trains |  |  |  |
| Train lengths in RFC Train weight | 550-850 m <br> 2,200 tonnes | 740-1050 m <br> 4,400 tonnes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1050-2100 m } \\ & 10,000 \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ |
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| Equipment | Common standard $2010$ | Incremental change* $2030$ | System change* $2050$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| Rail Freight Corridors <br> Signalling systems <br> Standard rail weight <br> Speed. ordinary freight <br> Speed, fast freight | 18,000km <br> Different <br> UIC $60 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 25,000km <br> ERTMS L2 in RFC <br> $70 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> $100-120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> $120-160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 50,000km <br> ERTMS L3 in RFC <br> $70 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> $120-160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Traffic system |  |  |  |
| Wagonload | Marshalling - feeder | Marshalling - feeder Some liner trains | Automatic marshalling Liner trains - duo-loco |
| Trainload |  | Remote controlled | All remote controlled |
| Intermodal | Endpoint-trains | Endpoint-trains <br> Liner trains with stops at siding | Endpoint-trains Liner trains fully automated loading |
| High Speed Freight | National post trains | International post and parcel trains | International post and parcel train network |
| IT /monitoring systems |  |  |  |
|  | Some different | Standardized | Full control of all trains and consignments |

*) Adapted to market needs in each product and line


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ ) Adapted to market needs in each product and line

