

Sustainable strategies for monitoring in slab track WP43. Implementation in new structures Innovations for Increasing Track Performance & Capacity, Paris– 15th March 2017

Dr. Noemi Jiménez-Redondo Noemi.jimenez@cemosa.es







Contents

- Motivation, Goals and Tasks
- Identification of Monitoring Needs
- Analysis of Market Available Technologies
- Selection of Technologies
- In-lab tests
- Installation procedures and guidelines
- Conclussions





Motivation

- The state of the rail infrastructure is mostly dependent on the age and local conditions (e.g. ground conditions, construction procedures, load, etc)
- These conditions affect the life expectancy and maintenance requirements











Goal

- Development of a monitoring system embedded in the new concepts of slab track developed within C4R
- Based on market available technologies
- Features pursued:
 - Low cost
 - Easy and rapid to implement during the construction of the infra
 - Easy or none maintenance



The Shinkansen slab track system



FBB slab track system (Max Bögl)





Tasks for the development of the monitoring system

- Identification of monitoring needs (what to monitor) and requirements (how to do it)
- Analysis of market available sensors and communication technologies
- Selection of most suitable technology according to the main constraints
- In-lab tests
- Drafting of installation procedures
- Deployment at real pilot at CEDEX laboratory













Identification of Monitoring needs

WHY TO MONITOR SLAB TRACKS?

Continuous monitoring may not be necessary because:

- Slab tracks have very low failure rates.
- Track geometry is quite stable (one of the main advantages of slab track)

But... we need to monitor because:

- In case of failures, repairs are very costly. The early detection of failures could derive in **lower cost repairs**.
- In C4R we aim at **enhancing the infrastructure capacity.** We should avoid track possession booking for inspection.
- In C4R we aim at **improving the competitiveness of railways.** As construction costs in ballastless tracks are high, monitoring is a key factor **to reach the limit of infrastructure utilisation with proper safety.**
- A **better knowledge of track condition** will allow the extension of the life span of the infrastructure and supporting harmful traffic demand, such as higher axle loads, longer trains or mixed traffic.





Identification of railway infrastructure monitoring needs WHAT CAN WE MEASURE? WHERE DO WE WANT TO MEASURE? Infrastructure condition 1. Track geometry ٠ AT CRITICAL SECTIONS, Rail defects such as transition zones, high Structural health of the concrete slab embankments, shallow phreatic and bearing layers level, low radii curves... Drainage **Operation of rail services** 2. Train detection Train speed measurement ٠ Train direction Axle counting • AT CONTROL SECTIONS Weighing in motion Unbalanced loads Wheel flat detection • Single vehicle identification







Analysis of market available technologies Study and analysis of wireless communication applications Wi-Fi Wi-MAX Wi Fi GSM/GPRS 3G 4G GPRS **BLUETOOTH ISM-band** 26 GPS IEEE 802.15.4 EPC 18000-6C **RFID** active **RFID** passive EEE 802 Specifications Range of measurements Consumption Data rate Response time



Analysis of market available technologies Study and analysis of wireless communication applications Wi-Fi Wi-MAX Wi Fi GSM/GPRS 3G 4G GPRS **BLUETOOTH ISM-band** RG GPS IEEE 802.15.4 EPC 18000-6C **RFID** active **RFID** passive EEE 802 Specifications Range of measurements Consumption Data rate Response time



Analysis of market available technologies

Admissible levels for the studied features

- Range of measurements: a short distance is good enough (--)
- Data rate: Not continuos monitoring a low data rate is good enough as only few bytes (-)
- Consumption: as lower as possible (---)
- Response time: not a major constraint (--)
- Comparison

	Wi-Fi	Wi-MAX	Mobile	BLE	IEEE802.15 .4g	Passive RFID	
Range	-	+++	+++		++		•
Data rate	+++	+++	+++	+	+	-	• ++
Consumption	++	+++	+++	-	-		• •
Response time	++	++	++	+	+		•

Very high value (of the feature)
High value (of the feature)
Normal value (of the feature)
Low value (of the feature)
Very low value (of the feature)
Ultra low value (of the feature)

To avoid **interference** with railway infrastructure communication, the reading distance in RFID should be kept below 12 meters





Selected technology: RFID (Radio Frequency Identification-Systems)

- RFID includes:
 - Readers
 - Labels or tags
 - Different ranges of frequencies:
 - Low frequencies: 125-134.2 kHz
 - High frequencies: 13.56 MHz
 - UHF: 868-956 MHz
 - Microwave: 2.445 GHz
 - Passive or active
 - Active: requires a battery installed in the Tag
 - Passive: power supply is based on the electromagnetic field emitted by the antenna







Selected technology: Passive RFID technology

- Limitations of Passive RFID technology
- Do not allow continuous monitoring → discrete values for record parameters
- Not all devices or sensors are compatible with RFID communications → research on relevant sensors
- Interferences need to be taken into account



Compatible sensors with passive RFID

- Needed of obtaining relevant parameters for Structural Health analysis
 - Accelerometers (relevant for modal analysis) are not possible they requires continuous or longer times of monitoring
 - Other critical parameters must be considered: strains, moisture, temperatures...





Market available RFID technology and strain gauges

Strain Gauges

- Strain measurements
- Widely spread in industry
- High level of reliability and accuracy
- Great number of different applications

Operating principles









Market available RFID technology and strain gauges

Strain Gauges

- Strain measurements
 - ✓ Strain/deformation in the <u>reinforcement bars</u> of the concrete slab



From the strain determination in the reinforcement bars and through the use of FEM models it is possible to identify the state of stress in a slab or in a beam.



Market available RFID technology and strain gauges

RFID Strain Gauges Restrictions

- Needed of Voltimeter to read results \rightarrow Vmeter RFID
- Embedded in the concrete block
- Connection between strain gauges and voltmeter are required
- Wireless communication system to send results is a prescription of the system

Vmeter-DCLV10

- Low voltage DC metering
- Voltage range: 0V to 1.5V
- Voltage resolution: from 1.5mV (Gain=1) to 1.5µV (Gain=1000)
- Price: 10.11€ (>500 units)

Material cost less than 35 € /Passive tag

Market available RFID technology

- Other RFID compatible sensors
 - Temperature measurement
 Changes in concrete behaviour
 (sensor embedded in the concrete slab)

Moisture measurement

- ✓ Detection of possible cracks or failures in the slab (<u>embedded</u> in concrete)
- ✓ Failures in the performance of the drainage system

- Fenix-Vortex-P25H
- Temperature and pressure sensor
- Temperature range: -30°C to +85°C
- Pressure range: 260mbar to 1260mbar
- Price: 13€ (>500 units)

- Hygro-Fenix-H221
- Temperature and humidity sensor
- Temperature range: -30ºC to +85ºC
- Humidity: 0% to 100% (ambient)
- Price: 13€ (>500 units)

All of RFID devices require a **interference assessment analysis** in reinforced concrete (RC) elements

Development of the sustainable monitoring system based on RFID technology

✤ IN-LAB TESTS

A number of in-lab test were performed in order to study the behaviour and performance of passive RFID in real environment

Purpose of tests:

- Analysis of signal attenuation: due to concrete, steel and PVC coating
- **Different technologies of antennae:** fixed and handheld.
- Comparison with active RFID

Parameters analysed

- Power of antenna
- Thickness of concrete
- Detection distance
- Maximum reading distance
- Influence of PVC coating and steel

Different test campaigns

Development of the sustainable monitoring system based on RFID technology

- IN-LAB TESTS
- Attenuation signal analysis
 - Different concrete thickness
 - Possible steel interferences
 - PVC coating for RFID sensor

- IN-LAB TESTS
- Different technologies of antenna
 - Fixed antenna: directional and cylindrical
 - Handheld mobile RFID reader

	Directional antenna	Cylindrical antenna	
Frequency	860 – 970 MHz	860-960 MHz	
Gain	6 dBi	6 dBi	
E-plane beam width	60°5°	67°5°	
H-plane beam width	74°5°	69°5°	
Nominal read distance	8 m	5 m	
Polarisation	Directional	Cylindrical	

- IN-LAB TESTS
- Test campaigns

	Concrete	Steel	PVC coating	Air
Passive RFID + Fixed Antennae	X	Х	X	Х
Passive RFID + Handheld Antennae	Х		Х	Х
Active RFID	Х			

- PVC encapsulation of RFID tag resulted in decreases of around 10% in maximum range
- Directional antenna offers notably better results (increases of more than 70% for both conditions)

- PVC encapsulation of RFID sensor does not show any effect on the detection distance
- The contact with a steel plate shows a high level of attenuation in the signal
- Directional antenna shows a better performance

✤ IN-LAB TESTS: 1st CAMPAIGN. FIXED ANTENNAE

Results for maximum range for different concrete thicknesses – 2, 4, 6 and 8 cm)

- The greater the power, larger distances no matter concrete thickness
- 2 cm (a usual covering for reinforced concrete) allows measuring at reasonable distances
- For the maximum power RFID is able to be read through 8 cm of concrete.

- Similar maximum range with/ without obstacles and PVC coating
- Maximum ranges are around 25% lower than the obtained with fixed antennae but lower power
- Handheld reader is able to measure through more than 5cm thickness of concrete at a total distance of 10-15cm

- ✤ IN-LAB TESTS: 3RD CAMPAIGN. ACTIVE RFID
 - Comparison w.r.t. passive RFID
 - Maximum range for different concrete thickness

Direction	Unidirectional
Range	30-50 m indoor
Frequency	2.4 GHz
RF Output Power	0 dBm
Sensitivity	-85 dBm
Data rate	1 Mbps

✤ IN-LAB TESTS: 3RD CAMPAIGN. ACTIVE RFID

Results for the different concrete thicknesses (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, 21 cm)

- Results were as expected:
 - Able to read at much larger distances
 - Attenuation dependent of inverse square distance

Testing of the monitoring system

Test: puntual stressing of strain gauge

Deployment at real pilot at CEDEX laboratory

INSTALLATION AND TESTS IN PROTOTYPES SP1 AT CEDEX INTEROPERABILITY RAIL LABORATORY (MADRID, SPAIN)

Summary

- Development of a **monitoring** system for the slab track concepts developed within C4R
- Based on Passive RFID technology
- Shows adequate performance especially in relation to measurement distance and energy consumption
- **Signal attenuation and interference** with other infrastructure communication system analysed
- Low cost (less than 35 € per tag), easy deployment, no maintenance

Thank you for your kind attention

Jiménez-Redondo, Noemi

noemijimenez@cemosa.es

